

**THE IMPACT OF INCULCATION OF ORGANIZATIONAL
CULTURE TOWARDS JOB PERFORMANCE AT LOCAL AND
FOREIGN ORIGINATED BANKS IN INDONESIA.**

BY

ILHAM RIZKY GANA SAPUTRA

Universiti Utara Malaysia

2014

**THE IMPACT OF INCULCATION OF ORGANIZATIONAL
CULTURE TOWARDS JOB PERFORMANCE AT LOCAL AND
FOREIGN ORIGINATED BANKS IN INDONESIA.**

BY

ILHAM RIZKY GANA SAPUTRA

Thesis Submitted to the Centre for Graduate Studies,

Universiti Utara Malaysia

In Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Master of Science

(International Business)

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this in partial requirement for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor or, in their absence, by the Dean of College of Law, Government and International Studies. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due to recognition shall be given to me and Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use that may be made of any material from my the Request for permission to copy or make use of materials in this thesis, in whole or part should be addressed to:

Dean of Centre for Graduate Studies

College of Law, Government and International Studies

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

ABSTRACT

Organization in essentials is to make as many profits as they can afford. In Indonesia, there are local organizations and foreign originated organizations, which operated their activities in Indonesia. The foreign originated organizations come with their own Organizational Culture, which performed better compared to local bank to enhance the employees' job performance. Organizational Culture is culture of an organization which to provide, guide and give paths in order to achieve its objectives. Meanwhile, the organization objectives can be achieved when the employees are performing expected performances. The organizational culture is one of the important tools to enable the employees to perform such expected performances. The objectives of this research are to investigate whether the Organizational Culture would positively influence Employees Job Performance in local bank and foreign originated bank, to identify which Organizational Culture Sub-construct is the strongest predictor of Employees Job Performance, and to compare the influence between Organizational Culture Dimensions on Employees Job Performance in Local Bank and Foreign Originated Bank.

The study used survey method. Questionnaires were used to gather feedback from the respondents. The survey was conducted at local bank and foreign originated bank in Indonesia. A total questionnaires retrieved from each banks as usable questionnaires are 118 usable questionnaires per-bank. The majority of findings showed the Organizational Culture has significant influence and relationship towards Employees job Performance, meanwhile there is a different result between local bank and foreign originated bank in Indonesia.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and the most important, “Syukur Alhamdulillah” for blessing and the strength that Allah SWT has given to me when working on this research. My deepest gratitude and sincere thank you to Dr. Marlin Marissa Malek Bt. Abdul Malek my supervisor who has always support me and giving guidance while I am working on this research. Her knowledge and guidance have greatly helped me in undertaking this research.

My sincere gratitude goes to the banks (local and foreign originated bank) for giving me the valuable opportunity to collect data in their esteemed organizations. Their cooperation had made my research more reliable and made my research more interesting. Last but not least, many thanks to family and friends for their love and support and for encouraging me all the way to complete the research process.

I would like to dedicate this research report to my loving parents who continuously pray and just being there for me. Thank you.

Table of contents

Permission To Use	i
Abstract.....	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
Table of contents	iv
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures.....	ix
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.2 Problem statement.....	3
1.3 Research objectives	5
1.4 Research questions	6
1.5 Significance of study.....	7
1.6 Scope os study	8
Chapter 2 : Literature review	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Organizational culture.....	9
2.2.1 Conceptual definition for culture of organization.....	13
2.2.2 Previous studies on organizational culture	19
2.3 Job performance.....	20

2.3.1	Conceptual definitions of job performance	24
2.4	Research hypothesis	25
Chapter 3 : Research methodology		28
3.1	Introduction	28
3.2	The survey method	28
3.3	Population and sample.....	29
3.4	Research Variables and Measures.....	30
3.4.1	Organizational Culture.....	30
3.4.2	Employees' job performance	31
3.5	Validity and Reliability	32
3.5.1	Item analysis	33
3.6	Questionnaire design.....	33
3.7	Hypothesis testing.....	35
3.8	Ethical Consideration	37
3.9	Summary	37
Chapter 4 : Findings		39
4.1	Demographic profile	39
4.1.1	Local bank.....	40
4.1.1.1	Gender	40
4.1.1.2	Nationality.....	40
4.1.1.3	Age	40

4.1.1.4	Marital Status	41
4.1.1.5	Education Level	41
4.1.1.6	Position	41
4.1.1.7	Length of service	42
4.1.1.8	Previous working experience	42
4.1.1.9	Length of service in previous organization	43
4.1.2	Foreign originated bank	43
4.1.2.1	Gender	43
4.1.2.2	Nationality	43
4.1.2.3	Age	44
4.1.2.4	Marital Status	44
4.1.2.5	Education Level	44
4.1.2.6	Position	45
4.1.2.7	Length of service	45
4.1.2.8	Previous working experience	46
4.1.2.9	Length of service in previous organization	46
4.2	Reliability test	47
4.3	Descriptive statistics	48
4.3.1	Local bank	49
4.3.2	Foreign originated bank	50
4.4	Correlation analysis	51

4.4.1	Local bank	52
4.4.2	Foreign originated bank	53
4.4.3	The difference	54
4.5	Hypothesis testing	55
4.6	Regression analysis	56
4.6.1	Local bank	56
4.6.2	Foreign originated bank	57
4.7	Summary	59
4.7.1	Local bank	60
4.7.1	Foreign originated bank	61
Chapter 5 : Discussion and conclusion.....		62
5.1	Overview of the chapter.....	62
5.2	Organizational culture on employees job performance	62
5.2.1	Local bank	62
5.2.2	Foreign originated bank	65
5.3	The strong predictors of organizational culture on employees job performance	68
5.3.1	Local bank	68
5.3.2	Foreign originated bank	69
5.4	The comparisons between local and foreign originated bank.....	70
5.5	Limitations and future research: direction and implications	72
5.6	Conclusion.....	74

References	75
-------------------------	-----------

List of Tables

3.6 Cronbach Alpha	34
4.1.1 Proportion of Frequency Analysis of Local Bank.....	40
4.1.2 Proportion of Frequency Analysis of Foreign Originated Bank	43
4.2.1 Reliability Test of Local Bank.....	47
4.2.2 Reliability Test of Foreign Originated Bank	48
4.3.1 Descriptive Statistics of Local Bank	50
4.3.2 Descriptive Statistics of Foreign Originated Bank	51
4.4.1 Correlation Analysis of Local Bank	52
4.4.2 Correlation Analysis of Foreign Originated Bank.....	53
4.6.1 Regression Analysis of Local Bank.....	56
4.6.2 Regression Analysis of Foreign Originated Bank	58
4.7.1 Summary of The Findings From Hypotheses Testing of Local Bank	60
4.7.2 Summary of The Findings From Hypotheses Testing of Foreign Originated Bank	61

List of Figures

FIGURE 1: Operational Framework of Organizational Culture and Employees’

Job Performance 27

APPENDIX 1: Questionnaire	79
APPENDIX 2: Frequency Analysis	83
APPENDIX 3: Descriptive Statistics	88
APPENDIX 4: Correlation Analysis	89
APPENDIX 5: Regression Analysis.....	91

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Globalization is a buzzword used by everybody nowadays. Nations and multinational corporations (MNC's) are directly or indirectly basing their strategic decisions on some aspects of globalization. Not in the exception of banking sector is taking a part in globalization, many banks are getting involved in globalizations for multiple objectives and both public and private banks are set their sights to secure a piece of the globalization pie. Banking sector becomes a major part in the nation to be involved in globalization and for the national growth. Further effect of this point gives economy and currency stability to the nation related from the globalization and the economy stability also can attracts foreign companies or investors, to invest in the particular countries that have stability of currency and improvement in banking sector.

The emergence of foreign banks were expected to stimulate the domestic economy and also tightening the competition in the banking sector in order to stimulate the local bank and provide comparison between local and foreign originated based bank in terms of provided service by the banks and performance between each of the banks. Thus, in order to perform the business in the banks they should encourage their employees to perform as they are expected and enhance the employee job performance.

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

REFERENCES

- Boon, O.K, Arumugam, V., Vellapan, L., Yin, L.K, & Wai, C.K. (2006). A Quantitative Analysis of the link between Organizational Culture and Job Satisfaction: Evidence from some Malaysia Health Care Organizations. *Malaysian Management Review*, 41 (1), 33-45.
- Chuan, L.K. (2009). *Hubungan antara Budaya Organisasi dengan Prestasi Kerja: Satu Kajian di Pasukan Polis Diraja Malaysia*. Universiti Utara Malaysia, Sintok.
- Deal, T.E., & Kennedy, A. (1982). *Corporate Culture: The Rites and Rituals of Corporate Life*. Reading: M.A: Addison Wesley.
- Denison, D. R. (1990). *Corporate Culture and Organizational Effectiveness*. New York: Wiley.
- Di Tomasso, G. (1992). Producing Corporate Performance from Organizational Culture. *Journal of Management Studies*, 29 (6): 783-798.
- Fey, C. F. and Denison, D. N. (2000). Organizational Culture and Effectiveness: The Case of Foreign Firms in Russia and Sweden. Working Paper Services in Business Administration, No. 2000,4.
- Furnham, A.,& Bochner, S. (1982). Social Difficulty in a Foreign Culture: An Empirical Study of Culture Shock. In Bochner. S. (Ed), *Culture in Contact: Studies in Cross-Cultural Interaction*, 161-198. Oxford, UK: Pergamon.

Furnham, A. (2006). *The Psychology of Behavior at Work*, Second Edition / Psychology Press-New York.

Hofstede, G. H. (1980). *Culture's Consequences*. Beverly Hills: Sage Publications.

Hofstede, G. (1993). Cultural Constraints in Management Theories, *Academy of Management Executive*, 7 (1), February (1993), Page 81-94.

Hofstede, G., & Hofstede, G. J. (2005). *Cultures and Organizations : Software of the Mind (2n Ed.)*. New York: McGraw-Hill

Joel and Michelle, L. (2000). Reflection for Leaders Corporate Culture, Organizational Health, and Human Potential. *Journal of Employee Assistance Professional Association Exchange*.

Kaplan, R. S. and Norton, D.P. (1992). The Balanced Scorecard: Measures that Drive Performance. *Harvard Business Review*, (January-February): 71-79.

Kaplan, R. S. and Norton, D.P. (1993) Putting the Balanced Scorecard to Work, *Harvard Business Review* (September-October).

Kaplan, R. S. and Norton, D.P. (1996). Using the Balanced Scorecard as a Strategic Management System, *Harvard Business Review* (January-February): 75-85.

Kartiningih. (2007). Analisis Pengaruh Budaya Organisasi dan Keterlibatan Kerja terhadap Komitmen Organisasi dalam Meningkatkan Kinerja Karyawan: Studi pada PT. Bank Tabungan Negara (Persero) Cabang Semarang. Universitas Dipenogoro, Semarang.

Kopelman, R.E., Brief, A. P. and Guzzo, R. A. (1990). *The Role of Climate and Culture in Productivity*. San Fransisco Jossey-Bass.

Kotter, J.P., and Heskett, J. L. (1992). *Corporate Culture and Performance*. Macmillan, New York The Free Press.

- Krejcie, R.V, & Morgan, D.W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*. 30, 607-610.
- Luthans, F. (1998). *Organizational Behavior*. New York Irwin/McGraw Hill.
- Mangundjaya, Wustari L.H. (2011). Is there cultural change in the national cultures of Indonesia?. Faculty of Psychology, Universitas Indonesia, pp. 59-68.
- Moorhead and Griffin. (1995). *Organizational Behavior Managing People and Organization*. New Jersey Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Nystrom, P. C. (1993). Organizational culture Strategies, and Commitments in Health Care Organizations. *Health Care Management Review*, Vol.18, 1993, p. 43-49.
- Pfeiffer, J. (1998). *The Human Equation Building Profits by Putting People First*. Boston Harvard Business School Press.
- Robbins, Stephen, and Mary. (1996). *Management*. New jersey Prentice Hall.
- Robbins, Stephen P. (1996). *Organizational Behavior Concept, Controversiest, Applications*. Apprentice Hall. Inc, Englewoods Cliffs.
- Rodwell, J.J., Klenzie, R., Shadur, M.A. (1998). The Relationships Amon Work-Related Perceptions, Employees Attitudes, and Employees Performance: The Integral Role Of Communication. *Human Resource Management*, Vol 37, No. 3 &4, Pp. 277-293.
- Sarip, M.S. (2011). *Hubungan Budaya Organisasi dengan Prestasi Kerja: Satu Kajian di dalam Pasukan Penguatkuasaan Pentadbiran Tanah Negeri Kedah*. Universiti Utara Malaysia, Sintok.

- Schein, E.H. (1990). *Organizational Culture*. *American Psychologist*, 43 (2), 109-119.
- Schein, E.H. (1992). *Organizational Culture and Leadership*. San Fransisco Jossey-Bass.
- Sheridan, J.E. (1992). Organizational Culture and Employee Retention. *Academy of Management Journal*, 35, 1036-1056.
- Sekaran, U. (2003). (4th Ed.). *Research Method for Business*. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Shadur, M.A., Rodwell, J.J, Simmons, D.E., and Bamber G.J. (1994). Internatuinal Best Practice, Quality Management and High Performance: Inferences from the Australian automotive sector. *International Journal of Human Resources Management*, 5, 613-636.
- Shahzad, F., Iqbal, Z., Gulzar, M. (2013). Impact of Organizational Culture on Employees Job Performance: An Empirical Study of Software Houses in Pakistan. *Journal of Business Studies Quarterly*, V.5 N.2.
- Wallach, Ellen J. (1983). "Individuals and Organizations: The Cultural Match". *Journal of Training and Development*, pp. 29-36.
- Yin, Y.M. (2011). *Hubungan antara Budaya Organisasi dengan Prestasi Kerja di Kakitangan Universiti Utara Malaysia*. Universiti Utara Malaysia, Sintok.
- World Bank Affiliated Report (2014, May). Retrieved May 16, 2014 from The Jakarta-Post: <http://www.thejakartapost.com/news/2014/05/05/ri-10th-largest-economy-wb.html>
- Zairi, M. (1999). *Best Practices Process Innovation Management*. Jordan Hill Oxford

Butterworth Heinema.

APPENDIX 1



UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA

Dear respected respondents,

I am a student of Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM) conducting a study on The Impact of Implementation of Organizational Culture towards Job Performance. This research is carried out in order to fulfill the requirements set by UUM. The objective of this study is to identify the influence that organizational culture has on the job performance of the employees at Pt. Bank Brisyariah and Standard Chartered Bank, Indonesia.

I would be very grateful and appreciate if you could spare approximately 10 minutes of your time to complete this questionnaire. This questionnaire comprised of 3 sections. Section A contains question regarding personal background, section B is on Organizational Culture Dimensions, and section C covers Job Performance.

Your responses will be highly confidential and the responses will only be used for research purpose. Thank you for your willingness to participate in this study.

Yours Sincerely

.....

Ilham Rizky Gana Saputra

Master of International Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

Matric no: 814489

Section A: Personal Background

Instruction: For each questions check (☐) the respond option that best describe you or write your response as appropriate.

1. Gender
Male (☐) Female (☐)
2. Nationality
Indonesian (☐) Others (.....) (please indicate)
3. Ages
Less than 22 (☐) 23-35 years (☐)
36-45 years (☐) 46-55 years (☐)
Above 55 years (☐)
4. Marital Status
Single (☐) Married(☐)
5. Highest Formal Education
High School (☐) Bachelor Dergee (☐) Master Degree (☐) PhD (☐)
6. Position Level in Organization
Middle Management (☐) Administration Staff (☐)
7. Length of Service
Less than 1 year(☐) 1 to 5 years (☐)
5 to 10 years (☐) above 10 years (☐)
8. Have you ever worked in another organization before?
Yes (☐) No (☐) *If No, go to the next section
9. If yes, how many years have you worked in previous organization?
< Less than 5 years (☐) 6 – 10 years (☐)
More than 10 years (☐)

Section B: Organizational Culture

Instruction: For each statement circle () the number that reflects that response that best describes your organization culture according to scale below.

1: Strongly Disagree 2: Disagree 3: Neutral 4: Agree 5: Strongly Agree

	SUPPORTIVENESS (TEAMWORK)	
1.	This Organization Missions are well understood by every employee.	1 2 3 4 5
2.	This Organization supports on the building of professionalism.	1 2 3 4 5
3.	This organization supports on self-development.	1 2 3 4 5
4.	This organization focused on the human resource as the most important asset.	1 2 3 4 5
5.	Team working is valued in this organization.	1 2 3 4 5
	COMPENSATION	1 2 3 4 5
6.	Compensation and reward are equal with the efforts of employee.	1 2 3 4 5
7.	This organization gives reward on creativity and innovation.	1 2 3 4 5
8.	This organization provides equality in personal needs and organization needs.	1 2 3 4 5
9.	This organization gives quality incentives or bonuses.	1 2 3 4 5
10.	Salary level on this organization is equal to another organization within the same level of position.	1 2 3 4 5
	INNOVATION	1 2 3 4 5
11.	This organization emphasizes and encourages innovation.	1 2 3 4 5
12.	This organization emphasize on the input of employee.	1 2 3 4 5
13.	This Organization gives opportunity to employee to contribute in decision-making process.	1 2 3 4 5
14.	This organization will do compensation or reward from the further input of employee.	1 2 3 4 5
15.	This organization has quality physical ability.	1 2 3 4 5
	PERFORMANCE ORIENTED	1 2 3 4 5
16.	This organization emphasizes on job task and accomplishment of task.	1 2 3 4 5
17.	Within the organization, the tasks are performed in team-working.	1 2 3 4 5
18.	This organization provides appropriate information regarding	1 2 3 4 5

	rewards on job performance in every organization level.	
19.	Organization nurtures good employees.	1 2 3 4 5
20.	Organization applies equal management system to responsibilities.	1 2 3 4 5
	STABILITY AND COMMUNICATION	1 2 3 4 5
21.	This Organization encourages freedom of speech and open communication.	1 2 3 4 5
22.	This Organization keeps employees well informed on matters important to them.	1 2 3 4 5
23.	Communication is regularly used for improvement of work process.	1 2 3 4 5
24.	Sufficient Efforts is made to determine the thought and response of people who work there.	1 2 3 4 5
25.	This Organization emphasizes on stability and improving the communication between managerial personnel and staffs defined as objective and organization value.	1 2 3 4 5

Section C: Job Performance

Instruction: For each statement circle () the number that reflects that response that best describes your job performance according to scale below.

1: Strongly Disagree 2: Disagree 3: Neutral 4: Agree 5: Strongly Agree

1.	I am currently working at my best performance level	1 2 3 4 5
2.	It is my right to use all my sick leave allowance (R)	1 2 3 4 5
3.	Employees should only do enough to get by	1 2 3 4 5
4.	I try to be at work as often as I can	1 2 3 4 5
5.	I am one of the best at the work I do	1 2 3 4 5
6.	I am one of the slowest at the work I do (R)	1 2 3 4 5
7.	I set very high standard for my work	1 2 3 4 5
8.	My work is always of high quality	1 2 3 4 5
9.	I am proud of my work performance	1 2 3 4 5

APPENDIX 2

FREQUENCIES ANALYSIS

1. LOCAL BANK

a. GENDER

GENDER

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	MALE	79	66.9	66.9	66.9
	FEMALE	39	33.1	33.1	100.0
	Total	118	100.0	100.0	

b. NATIONALITY

NATIONALITY

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	INDONESIAN	115	97.5	97.5	97.5
	OTHERS	3	2.5	2.5	100.0
	Total	118	100.0	100.0	

c. AGES

AGES

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	23-35 YEARS	66	55.9	55.9	55.9
	36-45 YEARS	41	34.7	34.7	90.7
	46-55 YEARS	11	9.3	9.3	100.0
	Total	118	100.0	100.0	

d. STATUS

STATUS					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	SINGLE	29	24.6	24.6	24.6
	MARRIED	89	75.4	75.4	100.0
	Total	118	100.0	100.0	

e. EDUCATION LEVEL

EDUCATION					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	HIGH SCHOOL	3	2.5	2.5	2.5
	BACHELOR DEGREE	90	76.3	76.3	78.8
	MASTER DEGREE	25	21.2	21.2	100.0
	Total	118	100.0	100.0	

f. POSITION

POSITION					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	MIDDLE MANAGEMENT	61	51.7	51.7	51.7
	ADMINISTARTION LEVEL	57	48.3	48.3	100.0
	Total	118	100.0	100.0	

g. LENGTH OF SERVICE

LENTHOFSERVICE					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	LESS THAN 1 YEAR	2	1.7	1.7	1.7
	1-5 YEARS	86	72.9	72.9	74.6
	6-10 YEARS	30	25.4	25.4	100.0

Total	118	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

h. WORKING EXPERIENCE

WORKINGEXPERIENCE

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid YES	76	64.4	64.4	64.4
NO	42	35.6	35.6	100.0
Total	118	100.0	100.0	

i. LENGTH OF SERVICE IN PREVIOUS ORGANIZATION

LENGTHOFSERVICEPREVIOUSORGANIZATION

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	42	35.6	35.6	35.6
LESS THAN 5 YEARS	51	43.2	43.2	78.8
6-10 YEARS	25	21.2	21.2	100.0
Total	118	100.0	100.0	

2. FOREIGN ORIGINATED BANK

a. GENDER

GENDER

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid MALE	72	61.0	61.0	61.0
FEMALE	46	39.0	39.0	100.0
Total	118	100.0	100.0	

b. NATIONALITY

NATIONALITY

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
--	-----------	---------	---------------	--------------------

Valid	INDONESIAN	102	86.4	86.4	86.4
	OTHERS	16	13.6	13.6	100.0
	Total	118	100.0	100.0	

c. AGES

AGES

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	23-35 YEARS	75	63.6	63.6	63.6
	36-45 YEARS	39	33.1	33.1	96.6
	46-55 YEARS	4	3.4	3.4	100.0
	Total	118	100.0	100.0	

d. STATUS

STATUS

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	SINGLE	51	43.2	43.2	43.2
	MARRIED	67	56.8	56.8	100.0
	Total	118	100.0	100.0	

e. EDUCATION LEVEL

EDUCATION

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	HIGH SCHOOL	1	.8	.8	.8
	BACHELOR DEGREE	67	56.8	56.8	57.6
	MASTER DEGREE	49	41.5	41.5	99.2
	PhD	1	.8	.8	100.0
	Total	118	100.0	100.0	

f. POSITION

POSITION				
	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
MIDDLE MANAGEMENT	53	44.9	44.9	44.9
Valid ADMINISTRATION LEVEL	65	55.1	55.1	100.0
Total	118	100.0	100.0	

g. LENGTH OF SERVICE

LENGTH OF SERVICE				
	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1-5 YEARS	106	89.8	89.8	89.8
Valid 6-10 YEARS	12	10.2	10.2	100.0
Total	118	100.0	100.0	

h. WORKING EXPERIENCE

WORKING EXPERIENCE				
	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
YES	86	72.9	72.9	72.9
Valid NO	32	27.1	27.1	100.0
Total	118	100.0	100.0	

i. LENGTH OF SERVICE IN PREVIOUS ORGANIZATION

LENGTH OF SERVICE IN PREVIOUS ORGANIZATION				
	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
No	32	27.1	27.1	27.1
LESS THAN 5 YEARS	44	37.3	37.3	64.4
Valid 6-10 YEARS	37	31.4	31.4	95.8
MORE THAN 10 YEARS	5	4.2	4.2	100.0
Total	118	100.0	100.0	

APPENDIX 3

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

1. LOCAL BANK

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Supportiveness	118	2.40	4.80	4.1136	.38116
Reward System	118	1.80	4.00	3.2847	.51268
Innovation	118	1.40	4.20	3.4559	.46180
Performance Oriented	118	1.40	4.40	3.7051	.48760
Communication	118	2.00	4.80	4.0288	.41533
Job Performance	118	2.89	4.33	3.8748	.25928
Valid N (listwise)	118				

2. FOREIGN ORIGINATED BANK

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Supportiveness	118	2.40	5.00	4.1983	.45779
Compensation	118	1.80	4.80	3.5525	.56670
Innovation	118	1.40	4.80	3.8898	.56119
PerformanceOriented	118	1.40	4.80	3.8763	.53648
Communication	118	2.00	4.80	3.8203	.46951
JobPerformance	118	2.89	4.89	4.2561	.36245
Valid N (listwise)	118				

APPENDIX 4

CORRELATION ANALYSIS

1. LOCAL BANK

Correlations						
Org. Culture	Spvnes	Rwrd	Innov	Perform	Comm	Job Perform
Spvnes	1					
Rwrd sys	.494**	1				
Innov	.350**	.553**	1			
Perform	.351**	.634**	.643**	1		
Comm	.370**	.496**	.614**	.783**	1	
Job Performance	.235*	.301**	.378**	.569**	.665**	

2. FOREIGN ORIGINATED BANK

Correlations						
Org. Culture	Spvnes	Rwrd	Innov	Perform	Comm	Job Perform
Spvnes	1					
Rwrd sys	.345**	1				
Innov	.156	.285**	1			
Perform	.195*	.372**	.435**	1		
Comm	0.142	.434**	.263**	.404**	1	
Job Performance	0.119	.244**	.276**	.289**	.222*	1

APPENDIX 5

REGRESSION ANALYSIS

1. LOCAL BANK

a. Organizational Culture on Job Performance

	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
	B	Std. Error	β	t	sig.
(Constant)	2.423	.210		11.517	.000
Organizational Culture	.390	.056	.541	6.930	.000

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Organizational Culture ^b		Enter

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.541 ^a	.293	.287	.21898

a. Predictors: (Constant), OrganizationalCulture

ANOVA^a

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
-------	----------------	----	-------------	---	------

1	Regression	2.303	1	2.303	48.019	.000 ^b
	Residual	5.563	116	.048		
	Total	7.865	117			

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. Predictors: (Constant), OrganizationalCulture

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	2.423	.210		11.517	.000
	OrganizationalCulture	.390	.056	.541	6.930	.000

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. Organizational Culture Dimensions on Job Performance

	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	sig.
	B	Std. Error	β		
(Constant)	2.238	.227		9.857	.000
Org.Culture					
Supportiveness	.16	.061	.235	2.609	.010
Reward System	.152	.045	.301	3.394	.001
Innovation	.212	.048	.378	4.395	.000
Performance Oriented	.303	.041	.569	7.456	.000
Communication	.415	.043	.665	9.596	.000

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	SUPPORTIVENESS ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.235 ^a	.055	.047	.25307

a. Predictors: (Constant), SUPPORTIVENESS

ANOVA^a

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	3.216	.254		12.682	.000
	SUPPORTIVENESS	.160	.061	.235	2.609	.010

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	.436	1	.436	6.809	.010 ^b
	Residual	7.429	116	.064		
	Total	7.865	117			

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. Predictors: (Constant), SUPPORTIVENESS

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Reward System ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.301 ^a	.090	.082	.24835

a. Predictors: (Constant), Reward System

ANOVA^a

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
-------	----------------	----	-------------	---	------

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	3.375	.149		22.674	.000
	Reward System	.152	.045	.301	3.394	.001

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

1	Regression	.711	1	.711	11.520	.001 ^b
	Residual	7.155	116	.062		
	Total	7.865	117			

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. Predictors: (Constant), Reward System

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	INNOVATION ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.378 ^a	.143	.135	.24109

a. Predictors: (Constant), INNOVATION

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	1.123	1	1.123	19.320	.000 ^b
	Residual	6.742	116	.058		
	Total	7.865	117			
Model						
1						
a. Deper						

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. Predictors: (Constant), INNOVATION

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	3.142	.168		18.670	.000
	INNOVATION	.212	.048	.378	4.395	.000

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Performance Oriented ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.569 ^a	.324	.318	.21409

a. Predictors: (Constant), PerformanceOriented

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	2.548	1	2.548	55.599	.000 ^b
	Residual	5.317	116	.046		
	Total	7.865	117			

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. Predictors: (Constant), PerformanceOriented

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	2.753	.152		18.152	.000
	PerformanceOriented	.303	.041	.569	7.456	.000

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Communication ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.665 ^a	.443	.438	.19442

a. Predictors: (Constant), Communication

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	3.481	1	3.481	92.084	.000 ^b
	Residual	4.385	116	.038		
	Total	7.865	117			
1	(Co					
	Cor					
a. Deper						

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. Predictors: (Constant), Communication

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	2.202	.175		12.561	.000
	Communication	.415	.043	.665	9.596	.000

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

2. FOREIGN ORIGINATED BANK

a. Organizational Culture on Job Performance

	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
	B	Std. Error	β	t	sig.
(Constant)	2.843	.353		8.062	.000
Organizational Culture	.365	.091	.350	4.024	.000

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	PerformanceOriented ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.350 ^a	.123	.115	.34099

a. Predictors: (Constant), Organizationalculture

ANOVA^a

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1 Regression	1.883	1	1.883	16.195	.000 ^b
Residual	13.488	116	.116		
Total	15.371	117			

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. Predictors: (Constant), Organizationalculture

Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
1 (Constant)	2.843	.353		8.062	.000
Organizationalculture	.365	.091	.350	4.024	.000

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. Organizational Culture Dimensions on Job Performance

	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	sig.
	B	Std. Error	β		
(Constant)	2.952	.394		7.495	.000
Org.Culture					
Supportiveness	.095	.073	.119	1.295	.198
Reward System	.156	.058	.244	2.706	.008
Innovation	.178	.058	.276	3.096	.002
Performance Oriented	.196	.06	.289	3.256	.001

Communication	.172	.07	.222	2.458	.015
----------------------	------	-----	------	-------	-------------

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Supportiveness ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.119 ^a	.014	.006	.36141

a. Predictors: (Constant), Supportiveness

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	.219	1	.219	1.678	.198 ^b
	Residual	15.151	116	.131		
	Total	15.371	117			

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. Predictors: (Constant), Supportiveness

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	3.859	.308		12.521	.000
	Supportiveness	.095	.073	.119	1.295	.198

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
-------	-------------------	-------------------	--------

1	Reward System ^b	.	Enter
---	----------------------------	---	-------

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.244 ^a	.059	.051	.35304

a. Predictors: (Constant), Reward System

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	.913	1	.913	7.324	.008 ^b
	Residual	14.458	116	.125		
	Total	15.371	117			

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. Predictors: (Constant), Reward System

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	3.702	.207		17.871	.000
	Reward System	.156	.058	.244	2.706	.008

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Innovation ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.276 ^a	.076	.068	.34985

a. Predictors: (Constant), Innovation

ANOVA^a

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1 Regression	1.173	1	1.173	9.583	.002 ^b
Residual	14.198	116	.122		
Total	15.371	117			

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. Predictors: (Constant), Innovation

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	3.562	.226		15.728	.000
	Innovation	.178	.058	.276	3.096	.002

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	PerformanceOriented ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.289 ^a	.084	.076	.34844

a. Predictors: (Constant), PerformanceOriented

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	1.287	1	1.287	10.603	.001 ^b
	Residual	14.083	116	.121		
	Total	15.371	117			

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. Predictors: (Constant), PerformanceOriented

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	3.498	.235		14.889	.000
	PerformanceOriented	.196	.060	.289	3.256	.001

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Communication ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.222 ^a	.049	.041	.35489

a. Predictors: (Constant), Communication

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	.761	1	.761	6.041	.015 ^b
	Residual	14.610	116	.126		

Total	15.371	117			
-------	--------	-----	--	--	--

- a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance
b. Predictors: (Constant), Communication

Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
1 (Constant)	3.600	.269		13.385	.000
Communication	.172	.070	.222	2.458	.015

- a. Dependent Variable: JobPerformance

THE IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTATION OF ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE TOWARDS JOB PERFORMANCE AT LOCAL BANK AND FOREIGN ORIGINATED BANK IN INDONESIA,

ORIGINALITY REPORT

17%

SIMILARITY INDEX

7%

INTERNET SOURCES

5%

PUBLICATIONS

12%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Submitted to Universiti Utara Malaysia Student Paper	5%
2	Submitted to Texas A&M University, Texarkana Student Paper	2%
3	Submitted to Higher Education Commission Pakistan Student Paper	1%
4	www.emarketing.net.cn Internet Source	1%
5	www.iaccp.org Internet Source	1%
6	www.ipedr.net Internet Source	<1%
7	Submitted to Istanbul Bilgi University Student Paper	<1%
8	sloanreview.mit.edu Internet Source	<1%